

Developing Sustainability Implementing a Prehospital Trauma Training Program During a Humanitarian Crisis



Authors: Kevin T Collopy, MHL, FP-C, CMTE, Brock Jenkins, BS, NRP, FP-C, CCP-C, Javed Ali, MPH, Katie Biniki, BSN, Oleskandra Demestka, PhD, Alona Goncharova, MD, Michelle Gonnet, MD, Jill John-Kall, MD, MSc, David Lewander, BS, Oleksii Lopatniuk, Myroslav Mardarevych, PhD, David Mills, MD, Katie Murray, LLM, Dmytro Pedan, John Roberts, MD, Meaghan Sydlowski, MPH, Jonathan Strong, MD, MPH, Alexis Schmid, DNP, RN, CPNP, MPH, Sean Kivlehan, MD, MPH

Introduction

- •The 2022 Russian invasion increased trauma-related civilian casualties throughout Ukraine.
- •The prehospital workforce was destabilized, and local instructor availability decreased.
- •Harvard Humanitarian Initiative and International Medical Corps partnered to develop and deliver in-person prehospital courses and related instructor courses in a phased approach to build a cadre of Ukrainian instructors who could scale training sustainably while maintaining quality.

Objective

•Our objective was to assess the impact of scaled international to Ukrainian led training on first responder knowledge and confidence managing trauma patients.

Methods

- •The Prehospital Trauma Fundamentals (PHTF) course was delivered in three phases:
 - (1) taught exclusively by English speaking international instructors with live bidirectional interpretation,
 - (2) high-performing Ukrainian students attended Training-of-Trainers courses and were directly mentored by the international instructors while teaching portions of the courses, and
 - (3) taught exclusively by the new Ukrainian instructors.
- •Knowledge change and confidence levels were assessed by pre- and post-course testing and self-assessments.
- •Results from international and Ukrainian-led courses were compared to measure effectiveness.
- •Data were analyzed in RStudio using McNemar's test for paired data and Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-rank test.
- •This project was determined to not require Institutional Review Board approval by the Mass General Brigham Office of Human Research Affairs.

Host Organization & Funding

- •The Ukraine Trauma Care Response Program is an academic and non-governmental organizational partnership between Harvard Humanitarian Initiative (HHI) & International Medical Corps (IMC). HHI, through its Emergency Health Systems Program, is leading a consortium consisting of Mass General Brigham, Global Medical Knowledge Alliance, and Boston Children's Hospital.
- •Grant Funding was provided by International Medical Corps.



Table 2: Participant Post Course Self-Confidence Assessment Scores

	International Led Courses n=497 (%)	Ukrainian Led Courses n=149 (%)	Sig*
Participant agree or strongly agree on L	ikert Scale		
I feel comfortable handling patients requiring trauma care	399 (80.3)	125 (83.9)	0.385
I feel nervous about seeing patients with traumatic injuries	290 (58.4)	92 (61.7)	0.519
I feel that I lack the skills to provide care in most emergencies	202 (40.6)	55 (36.9)	0.471
I feel prepared to see patients with life threatening injuries	355 (71.4)	124 (83.2)	<0.05
I feel that I understand the XABCDE of emergency care	476 (95.8)	136 (91.3)	0.051
I feel I have organized approach that allows me to be prepared to are for trauma patients	442 (88.9)	139 (93.3)	0.163
I do not feel confident in my knowledge of trauma care	133 (26.8)	45 (30.2)	0.472
Participants feeling confident or very co	onfident on Li	kert Scale	
Emergency management of the injured adult	403 (81.1)	124 (83.2)	0.639
Emergency management of the injured child	247 (49.7)	77 (51.7)	0.741
Emergency management of blast injuries	329 (66.2)	111 (74.5)	0.071
Emergency management of penetrating injuries	378 (76.1)	128 (85.9)	<0.05
Emergency management of blunt trauma	379 (76.3)	128 (85.9)	<0.05
Emergency management of the patient with shock	314 (63.2)	113 (75.8)	<0.05
Emergency Management of the patient with altered mental status	257 (51.7)	96 (64.4)	<0.05
Have the skills to manage an obstructed airway	367 (73.8)	119 (79.9)	0.164
Have the skills to manage hemorrhage	409 (82.3)	133 (89.3)	0.057
Have the skills to immobilize injured patients	399 (80.3)	134 (89.9)	<0.05

*Based on McNemar's test for paired data

Table 1: Participant Demographics

	International Led Courses	Ukrainian Led Courses
Gender	n(%)	n(%)
Male	230 (28.0)	123 (69.1)
Female	586 (71.5)	53 (29.8)
Other/prefer not to say		
Missing	4 (0.5)	2(1.1)
Age (yrs) [IQR]	37.5[26,48]	41[32.2,50]
Clinical Background		
Physician	207(25.2)	64(36.0)
Nurse	385(47.0)	27(15.2)
Paramedic	31(3.8)	2(1.1)
Flecher	0(0.0)	75(42.1)
Pharmacist	0(0.0)	1(0.6)
Non-healthcare worker	138(16.8)	2(1.1)
Other	59(7.2)	7(3.9)

Table 3: 6-week Follow-up Survey

Table 3: 6-week Follow-up Survey				
	International Led Courses n=186	Ukrainian Led Courses n=27		
Do you feel this training has had (or will have) a life-saving effect in your management of a trauma patient?	99.5%	100%		
I learned new procedures applicable to my practice	75.3%	85.2%		
I now feel more confident to care for a trauma patient	72.6%	88.9%		
I taught others how to perform procedures learned in this course	60.8%	74.1%		
I have taught others trauma management knowledge learned in this course	61.8%	70.4%		
I have performed a new skill learned in the course	73.1%	85.2%		
I have used equipment that I previously didn't know how to use	16.5%	22.2%		

Results

- •820 participants completed international-led courses and 178 participants completed Ukrainian-led courses (Table 1).
- •Both course groups had significant post-test score improvement, these rates were similar (93.6%v94.2%,p=0.9434).
- •Mean pre- and post-test scores were higher in Ukrainian-led courses (52.9%v61.6% to 77.0%v81.6%,p<0.001).
- •Both groups had similar reductions in skill deficit (p=0.471), nervousness (p=0.519), or lack of confidence (p=0.472).
- •While confidence some management categories were similar, fewer participants in international-led courses were confident in managing shock (62.9%v77.0%,p<0.05), altered mental state (51.6%v64.7%,p<0.05), and immobilizing patients (80.0%v89.2%,p<0.05) than in the Ukrainian-led courses.

Conclusions

- •Participant knowledge as measured by pre- and posttests improved similarly in both international and Ukrainian-led courses.
- •Ukrainian-led courses had slightly higher overall test scores and confidence in some areas, suggesting improved effectiveness following the transition to Ukrainian instructors.
- •A phased transition from international to local instructors with structured mentoring can improve outcomes. This model could improve sustainable localization of prehospital training in humanitarian and other contexts.

Limitations & Next Steps

- •This study was completed using a novel trauma education taught only in Ukraine
- •Resource & Cultural Constraints: Limited resources, & language and cultural barriers, may have affected the scalability and effectiveness of the training.
- •Geopolitical & Evaluation Challenges: Ongoing conflict and a short evaluation period pose uncertainties regarding the program's long-term impact and consistent delivery.