What is the EMS Treatment Penalty for Not Speaking English? Jamie Kennel PhD, MAS, Paramedic; Adam Wagner MSc, Paramedic

Intro

- Patients with Limited English Proficiency (LEP) represent one of the most vulnerable patient communities. ⁽¹⁾
- Communication challenges result in substantial health and healthcare disadvantages. ^(2, 3)
- The LEP population has increased 80% since 1980 it is unknown if patients with LEP receive a substandard of care in EMS. ⁽¹⁾
- The effectiveness and utilization rate of pre-hospital language interpreters is currently unknown.

Methods

- Cross-sectional, retrospective study with all transported pre-hospital adult trauma patients from 2015 to 2021 in Portland, Oregon.
- LEP status was identified by narrative key word searches and manual review.
- Two outcome measures: pain screening and pain medication administration.

Results

- A population of 57,693 English speaking patients and 1,155 LEP patients were examined by EMS.
- 48% of patients with LEP received a language interpreter (of any form), however a qualified medical interpreter was used in only 3% of interactions despite field availability.
- In models adjusted for demographic and clinical confounders, LEP patients were 63% less likely to receive a pain assessment and 23% less likely to receive pain medications of any kind for their traumatic injury when compared to English speaking patients.
- The use of a language interpreter only marginally improved the likelihood of receiving a pain assessment but may have eliminated pain medication treatment disparities for patients with LEP, however these advantages did not equally benefit all race/ethnicity categories.

Conclusion

- This study helps to quantify the substandard medical treatment patients with LEP receive in EMS.
- Interpreter use, albeit concerningly low, when used, may mitigate some of the treatment disparities for patients with LEP.
- Research is needed to understand barriers to interpreter use and its varied efficacy for different racial and ethnic minority patients.

Less than half of them received any form of language interpreter

Only 3% received a qualified medical interpreter despite field availability

:30 second read

<u>2%</u> of trauma patients did not speak English

Non-English trauma patients were <u>63% less</u> likely to receive a pain screening

Non-English trauma patients were 23% less likely to receive any pain medications

Using <u>a language interpreter only partially</u> helped, but not for all racial and minority groups







Type of

Family or Frie

Bystander

Interpreter U Official Intern Depart.)

EMS Crew Me

Informal Tech





non-English speaking patients

interpreter used	
iends	60.3%
	20.2%
Jsed from Unknown Source	9.1%
rpreter Resource (Language Line or Fire	5.5%
1ember Informal Interpreter	3.9%
ch Tools (Google translate, etc.)	1.3%



